Police Data Challenge Judging Criterion

For each of the judging criterion there are point ranges that are divided into Poor (bottom 20%), Fair (middle 60%), Exceptional (top 20%).

**Narrative:**

**Strength of story**

Your presentation should provide a narrative that engages the audience and holds their interest. This is done through constructing a strong theme that is driven with compelling facts and a connection to the overarching goal of improving public safety.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point Range</th>
<th>1-5 Poor</th>
<th>6-20 Fair</th>
<th>21-25 Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Attributes  | Poor Strength of Story - Did not convince audience of importance/impact  
Presentation disconnected with intended audience  
- Does not demonstrate an understanding of the city’s context  
Uninteresting  
- Does not display enthusiasm for their project  
- analysis lacks applications  
Fair Strength of Story - convinced audience of importance/impact, but could be stronger  
Presentation moderate connection with intended audience  
- Demonstrates a moderate understanding of the city’s context  
Interest  
- Displays moderate enthusiasm for their project  
- analysis has few applications  
Exceptional Strength of Story - convinced audience of importance/impact  
- "wow factor"  
Presentation well connected with intended audience  
- Demonstrates a strong understanding of the city’s context  
- Clear communication  
Interesting  
- Displays strong enthusiasm for their project  
- analysis has clear applications |

**Evidence & Methods**

The presentation narrative must be supported by the data through properly conducted analysis and carry objectively correct interpretations of evidence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point Range</th>
<th>1-3 Poor</th>
<th>4-12 Fair</th>
<th>13-15 Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Attributes  | Poor Evidence - Statements not backed by data  
- Statements refuted by data  
Poor Methods  
- inadequate/superficial/improper/overly-simplistic methods used  
- Incorrect interpretations of modeling/graphical items  
Fair Evidence - Questionable statements about the data  
- Some statements not supported by data  
Fair Methods - questionable methods used  
- Lacks sophistication  
- Some incorrect interpretations of modeling/graphical items  
Great Evidence - Statements backed by data  
- Legitimate findings  
Great Methods - Appropriate/sophisticated methods used properly  
- Correct interpretations of modeling/graphical items |
**Organization:**

**Clarity**
Your presentation of the materials should be organized in a logical progression for the audience to follow. Additionally it will be important to use terminology and phrasing that are clearly understandable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point Range</th>
<th>1-3 Poor</th>
<th>4-12 Fair</th>
<th>13-15 Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Attributes  | Poor Progression  
- Difficult to follow train of thought  
- Results presented out of order  
Poor Lexicon  
- Use lots of unclear jargon, phrases or terms that would be unfamiliar to audience | Fair Progression  
- Fairly clear train of thought  
- Results presented in reasonable order  
Fair Lexicon  
- Use little unclear jargon, phrases or terms that would be unfamiliar to audience | Great Progression  
- Intuitive order to presentation materials  
- Covered all important topics  
Great Lexicon  
- Use no jargon that would be unfamiliar to audience  
- clear/concise language used. |

**Visual Materials**
Your visual materials used in the presentation should be well organized so that an audience could easily follow along. You are limited in the number of slides present and you should imagine a time limit on an oral presentation, so don’t overly complicate/clutter your visual materials. The visual materials should also contain correct spelling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point Range</th>
<th>1-3 Poor</th>
<th>4-12 Fair</th>
<th>13-15 Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Attributes  | Clutter and Complication  
- Huge volume of words  
- Poorly organized items  
- Visual does not match presentation  
- Many typos | Little Clutter and Complication  
- reasonable volume of words  
- organized items  
- Visual matches presentation  
- Few typos | No Clutter and Complication  
- Concise/clear wording  
- Well organized items  
- Visuals complement presentation  
- Few typos |

**Graphics:**

**Graphical Aesthetics & Scales**
The use of graphical aesthetics (color, shape, size, position, orientation, etc.) are appropriately used given the context of the data. The scales associated with these attributes should also be contextually appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point Range</th>
<th>1-2 Poor</th>
<th>3-8 Fair</th>
<th>9-10 Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Attributes  | Poor Aesthetics  
- Use continuous graphical aesthetic to display categorical data element, or vice-versa  
- Distractively poor graphical choices | Fair Aesthetics  
- Generally avoids using continuous graphical aesthetic to display categorical data element, or vice-versa | Great Aesthetics  
- Appropriately uses graphical aesthetics |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poor Scaling</th>
<th>Fair Scaling</th>
<th>Great Scaling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- numeric scales improper for the context of the data</td>
<td>- numeric scales proper for the context of the data</td>
<td>- numeric scales proper for the context of the data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Graphical Depth & Impact**

The graphics used contribute to the strength of the narrative and improve the ability to connect to the data in meaningful ways.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point Range</th>
<th>1-2 Poor</th>
<th>3-8 Fair</th>
<th>9-10 Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attributes</td>
<td>Poor Depth - Only very simple data attributes plotted Poor Impact - Data visualization did not contribute in a meaningful way to the presentation</td>
<td>Fair Depth - Relatively simplistic data relationships visualized Fair Impact - Data visualizations contribute moderately to the presentation</td>
<td>Great Depth - Complex data relationships visualized Great Impact - Data visualizations contribute in a major way to the presentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Technical Document**

The technical document clearly communicates the analysis process and is consistent with the presentation narrative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point Range</th>
<th>1-2 Poor</th>
<th>3-8 Fair</th>
<th>9-10 Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attributes</td>
<td>Poor Depth - Only very simple analysis Poor Impact - Analysis and Data visualizations demonstrate limited understanding of the data and statistical and visualization tools and methods</td>
<td>Fair Depth - Relatively simplistic analysis Fair Impact - Analysis and Data visualizations demonstrate moderate understanding of the data and the statistical and visualization tools and methods</td>
<td>Great Depth - Complex analysis and data relationships visualized Great Impact - Analysis Data visualizations demonstrates an exceptional understanding of the data and the statistical and visualization tools and methods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use of External Data:
Any publically available data may be used to supplement the provided data to enhance your analysis. This criterion will be judged based on the creativity and success with which your team integrates this external data with the provided data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point Range</th>
<th>1-2 Poor</th>
<th>3-8 Fair</th>
<th>9-10 Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Attributes  | - Poor creativity  
- Poor integration | Incorporated a low quality/quantity of external data in an creative way  
OR  
Incorporated a high quality/quantity of external data in an creative way | Incorporated a high quality/quantity of external data in an creative way |